Advertising Disclaimer

PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Home | Current Issue | AAP Policy | eArchives | Supplements | Collections | eLetters | Early Releases

Search Advanced Search

MY PEDIATRICS

Log In Subscribe/Renew Manage My Account **RSS Feeds New Features** Contact Us

INSTITUTIONS

Manage My Account Activate My Subscriptions Librarians Portal

AUTHORS

Author Guidelines Copyright Form **Editorial Policies** NIH-funded Articles Submit and Track My Manuscript

JOURNAL INFORMATION

General Information About the Journal **Editorial Board** For News Media For Advertisers

SERVICES

Permissions Reprints

RELATED RESOURCES

AAP Journals **AAP** PedJobs Pediatrics' Web Site Tools

> **GET PEDIATRICS EMAIL ALERTS**

SIGN UP NOW FREE

Published online June 7, 2010 PEDIATRICS (doi:10.1542/peds.2009-3462)

Smoke-free Air Laws and Secondhand **Smoke Exposure Among Nonsmoking**

Melanie S. Dove, MPH^a, Douglas W. Dockery, ScD^{a,b}, Gregory N. Connolly, DMD, MPH^c

^aDepartment of Environmental Health,

^bDepartment of Epidemiology, and

^cDivision of Public Health Practice, Department of Society, Human Development, and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

OBJECTIVES We investigated the association between smoke-free law coverage and cotinine among nonsmoking youth (3-19 years) with and without home secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure.

METHODS We used data from the 1999-2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, a crosssectional survey designed to monitor the health and nutritional status of the US population. Serum cotinine levels were available for 11486 nonsmoking youth from 117 survey locations. Each location was categorized into 1 of 3 groups indicating extensive, limited, and no coverage by a smoke-free law. Cotinine was analyzed both as a dichotomous (≥0.05 ng/mL) and as a continuous outcome.

This Article

- Full Text (PDF)
- Submit an eLetter
- Alert me when this article is cited
- Alert me when eLetters are posted
- Alert me if a correction is posted

Services

- ▶ E-mail this article to a friend
- Similar articles in this journal
- Similar articles in PubMed
- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- Add to My File Cabinet
- Download to citation manager
- Request Permissions

Citing Articles

Citing Articles via CrossRef

Google Scholar

- Articles by Dove, M. S.
- Articles by Connolly, G. N.

PubMed

- PubMed Citation
- Articles by Dove, M. S.
- Articles by Connolly, G. N.

Social Bookmarking











RESULTS Among youth without home SHS exposure, those who were living in a county with extensive coverage of a smoke-free air law had an adjusted 0.61 times (95% confidence interval: 0.48-0.78) the prevalence of detectable cotinine and 0.57 (95% confidence interval: 0.41-0.79) times lower geometric mean compared with youth without a smoke-free air law. Among youth with home SHS exposure, youth with extensive coverage of a smoke-free air law had lower geometric mean cotinine compared with youth who were living in a county without a smoke-free air law, but these differences were no longer observed after adjustment for covariates.

CONCLUSIONS These results suggest that smoke-free laws are an effective strategy for reducing cotinine in youth without home SHS exposure; however, among youth with home SHS exposure, no

6/9/2010 4:17 PM 1 of 2

benefit was detected.

Key Words: secondhand smoke • tobacco control policy • children and adolescents • National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

Abbreviations: SHS = secondhand smoke • NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey • LOD = limit of detection • CI = confidence interval

Accepted Mar 23, 2010.









2010 © Copyright American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved.

American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Blvd., Elk Grove Village, IL, 847-434-4000

Contact Us | Privacy Statement | About

Click here for faster international access

2 of 2